

# Hypothesis Testing

Young W. Lim

2019-05-25 Tue

- 1 Based on
- 2 Hypothesis Testing
- 3 Power
- 4 Definitions

## "Understanding Statistics in the Behavioral Sciences" R. R. Pagano

I, the copyright holder of this work, hereby publish it under the following licenses: GNU head Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled GNU Free Documentation License.

CC BY SA This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. In short: you are free to share and make derivative works of the file under the conditions that you appropriately attribute it, and that you distribute it only under a license compatible with this one.

# Statistical Hypothesis

- a **statistical hypothesis** is a hypothesis that is testable on the basis of observing a process that is modeled via a set of random variables.
- A **statistical hypothesis test** is a method of statistical inference

- **Statistical inference** is the process of using data analysis to deduce properties of an underlying probability distribution.
- **Inferential statistical analysis** infers properties of a population, for example by testing hypotheses and deriving estimates
- It is assumed that the observed data set is sampled from a larger population

# Statistical Relationship

- Commonly, two statistical data sets are compared, or a data set obtained by sampling is compared against a synthetic data set from an idealized model.
- a **hypothesis** is proposed for the **statistical relationship** between the two data sets, and
- this is compared as an **alternative** to an *idealized* **null hypothesis** that proposes no relationship between two data sets

- the comparison is deemed **statistically significant** if the relationship between the data sets would be an unlikely realization of the **null hypothesis** according to a threshold probability - the **significance level**

- **Hypothesis tests** are used when determining what outcomes of a study would lead to a rejection of the **null hypothesis** for a pre-specified level of **significance**



# Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ )

- **alternative hypothesis** is the one that claims the difference in results between conditions is due to the independent variable
  - directional
    - does specify the direction of the effect
  - non-directional
    - does not specify the direction of the effect

# Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ )

- **null hypothesis**  
set up to be the logical counterpart of the alternative hypothesis
- if the null hypothesis is false, the alternative hypothesis is true
- the alternative and null hypotheses must be mutually exclusive and exhaustive

# Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ )

- the null hypothesis is a general statement or default position that there is no relationship between two measured phenomena, or no association among groups
- Testing (accepting, approving, rejecting, or disproving) the null hypothesis—and thus concluding that there are or are not grounds for believing that there is a relationship between two phenomena (e.g. that a potential treatment has a measurable effect) -

# Non-directional Alternative and Null Hypotheses

- for the *non-directional* **alternative hypothesis**,
  - the independent variable has an effect on the dependent variable
  - the **null hypothesis** :  
the independent variable has no effect on the dependent variable

# Directional Alternative and Null Hypotheses

- for the *directional alternative hypothesis*,
  - the independent variable has an effect on the dependent variable in the direction specified by the alternative hypothesis
  - the **null hypotheses** :  
the independent variable has no effect on the dependent variable in the direction specified by alternative hypothesis  
no effect or effect in the opposite direction

# Decision Rule ( $\alpha$ level) (1)

- always evaluate the results of an experiment by assessing the **null hypothesis**
- because we can calculate the probability of chance events for the null hypothesis, but there is no way to calculate the probability of the alternative hypothesis
- evaluate the null hypothesis by assuming it is true and testing the reasonableness of this assumption by calculating the probability of getting the results if chance alone is operating

## Decision Rule ( $\alpha$ level) (2)

- if the obtained probability turns out to be equal or less than a **critical probability** level ( $\alpha$  level) we reject the null hypothesis
- if the obtained probability  $\leq \alpha$ , reject  $H_0$
- if the obtained probability  $> \alpha$ , retain  $H_0$

# Type I and Type II Errors

- A Type I Error  
a decision to reject the **null** hypothesis  
when the **null** hypothesis is true
- A Type II Error  
a decision to retain the **null** hypothesis  
when the **null** hypothesis is false



# Type I Error

- the incorrect rejection of a true null hypothesis.
- Usually a type I error leads one to conclude that a supposed effect or relationship exists when in fact it doesn't.

# Type I Error - false positive

- when the **null** hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is true, but is rejected
- **false hit** :  
asserting something (*reject  $H_0$* ) that is absent (*true  $H_0$* )
- **false positive** : a result that indicates that a given condition is present (*reject  $H_0$* ) when actually not present (*true  $H_0$* )

# Type I Error - example

- an investigator may see the wolf when there is none raising a false alarm where  $H_0$  comprises "There is no wolf".
- test that shows a patient to have a disease when in fact the patient does not have the disease,
- a fire alarm going on indicating a fire when in fact there is no fire, or
- an experiment indicating that a medical treatment should cure a disease when in fact it does not.

# Type I Error Rate - significance level

- The **type I error rate** or **significance level** or  **$\alpha$  level** is the probability of rejecting the **null** hypothesis given that it is true
- Often, the **significance level** is set to 0.05 (5%), implying that it is acceptable to have a 5% probability of incorrectly rejecting the **null** hypothesis.

- the alpha level the scientist sets at the beginning of the experiment is the level to which he / she wishes to limit the probability of making type I error

# Type II Error

- the failure to reject a false null hypothesis.
- a blood test failing to detect the disease it was designed to detect, in a patient who really has the disease;
- a fire breaking out and the fire alarm does not ring; or
- a clinical trial of a medical treatment failing to show that the treatment works when really it does.

# Type II Error - false negative

- when the **null** hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is false, but *erroneously fails to be rejected* (*accepted*)
- **false miss**  
failing to assert (*accept  $H_0$* ) what is present (*false  $H_0$* )
- **false negative** : an actual 'hit' (*false  $H_0$* ) was disregarded by the test and seen as a miss (*accept  $H_0$* ) in a test

## Type II Error - example

- when we fail to believe a true alternative hypothesis
- an investigator may fail to detect the metaphoric "wolf" when in fact a wolf is present (and therefore fail to raise an alarm)
- the wolf either exists or does not exist within a given context the only question is, do we correctly detect the wolf or do we fail, either failing to detect him when he is present, or detecting him when he is not present



## Type II Error Rate - $(1 - \text{power})$

- The rate of the type II error is denoted by the Greek letter  $\beta$  and related to the power of a test (which equals  $1 - \beta$ ).

- the evaluation should always be two-tailed unless the experimenter will retain  $H_0$  when results are extreme in the direction opposite to the predicted direction

# Tables of error types

	True $H_0$	False $H_0$
fail to reject $H_0$	Correct Inference (True Negative) $(1 - \alpha)$	Type II error (False Negative) $(\beta)$
reject $H_0$	Type I error (False Positive) $(\alpha)$	Correct Inference (True Positive) $(1 - \beta)$

- the **power** of a binary hypothesis test is the probability that the test rejects the **null hypothesis** ( $H_0$ ) when a specific **alternative hypothesis** ( $H_1$ ) is true.
- The statistical **power** ranges from 0 to 1

# Power and Type II error

- as statistical **power** increases,  
the probability of making a **type II error** decreases
  - *wrongly* failing to reject the **null hypothesis** decreases
- for a **type II error probability** of  $\beta$   
the corresponding statistical **power** is  $1 - \beta$

- if experiment 1 has a statistical power of 0.7 ( $\beta_1 = 0.3$ ), and experiment 2 has a statistical power of 0.95 ( $\beta_2 = 0.05$ ),
- then there is a stronger probability ( $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ ) that experiment 1 had a **type II error** than experiment 2, and
- experiment 2 is more reliable than experiment 1 due to the reduction in probability of a type II error.

# Power detects a specific effect

the statistical **power** can be equivalently thought of as the probability of accepting the **alternative hypothesis** ( $H_1$ ) when the **alternative hypothesis** is true

- the ability of a test to detect a specific effect, if that specific effect actually *exists*

- the probability that the results of an experiment will allow rejection of the null hypothesis if the independent variable has a real effect



- $P_{null}$  is the probability of getting a plus with any subject in the sample of the experiment when the independent variable has **no** effect
- $P_{real}$  is the probability of getting a plus with any subject in the sample of the experiment when the independent variable has **real** effect

- $P_{null}$  is the probability of getting a plus with any subject in the sample of the experiment when the independent variable has **no** effect
- it is also the proportion of pluses in the population if the experiment were done on the entire population and independent variable as a **real** effect

- $p(\text{rejecting } H_0 \text{ if it is false}) + p(\text{retaining } H_0 \text{ if it is false}) = 1$
- $\text{Power} = p(\text{rejecting } H_0 \text{ if it is false})$   
 $\text{Beta} = p(\text{retaining } H_0 \text{ if it is false})$
- $\text{Power} + \text{Beta} = 1$   
 $\text{Beta} = 1 - \text{Power}$

- $p(\text{correctly concluding}) = p(\text{retaining } H_0) = 1 - \alpha$
- $p(\text{correctly concluding}) = p(\text{rejecting } H_0) = \text{power} = 1 - \beta$



- **Null hypothesis ( $H_0$ )** A hypothesis associated with a contradiction to a theory one would like to prove.
- **Alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ )** A hypothesis (often composite) associated with a theory one would like to prove.
- **Critical value** The threshold value delimiting the regions of acceptance and rejection for the test statistic.

- **Power of a test ( $1 - \beta$ )** The test's probability of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis.
  - the complement of the **false negative rate**,  $\beta$ .
  - **power** is termed **sensitivity** in biostatistics.
  - *This is a sensitive test. Because the result is negative, we can confidently say that the patient does not have the condition*
  - See sensitivity and specificity and Type I and type II errors for exhaustive definitions.

- **p-value** The probability, assuming the null hypothesis is true, of observing a result at least as extreme as the test statistic. In case of a composite null hypothesis, the worst case probability.



- **statistical significance test**

A predecessor to the statistical hypothesis test

An experimental result was said to be statistically significant if a sample was sufficiently inconsistent with the null hypothesis this provides mathematical rigor and philosophical consistency to the concept by making the alternative hypothesis explicit.

The term is loosely used to describe the modern version which is now part of statistical hypothesis testing.

- **Exact test** A test in which the significance level or critical value can be computed exactly, i.e., without any approximation. In some contexts this term is restricted to tests applied to categorical data and to permutation tests, in which computations are carried out by complete enumeration of all possible outcomes and their probabilities.

- **Uniformly most powerful test (UMP)** A test with the greatest power for all values of the parameter(s) being tested, contained in the alternative hypothesis.